SOUTH LINCOLNSHIRE ACADEMIES TRUST (SLAT) BOURNE ACADEMY, SPALDING ACADEMY, GILES ACADEMY AND COWLEY ACADEMY

Exam Results, Complaints and Appeals Policy 2022/23

Aspire - Challenge - Achieve



These procedures are reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

Author	SLT Line Link	Date Approved	
Dr K Chrysostomou	James Hind	James Hind October 2022	
Policy Type	Date of Next Review	e of Next Review Approved By	
Trust Wide	October 2023	Executive Headteacher	

Key staff involved in the procedure

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Head of School
	Lucy Conley
Exams officer	Bourne Academy – Jayne Shields
	Spalding Academy – Caroline Garbutt
	Giles Academy – Carol Goodwin
	Cowley Academy - Carol Goodwin
Senior leader(s)	James Hind
ALS lead/SENCo	Karen Joel

Purpose of the procedure

This procedure confirms South Lincolnshire Academies Trust's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.8) that the centre will draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers their written complaints and appeals procedure which will cover general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification.

Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or his/her/parent/carer) may make a complaint on the grounds below (this is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching and learning

- Quality of teaching and learning, for example
 - Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
 - Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - Core content not adequately covered
 - Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- The marking of an internal assessment (centre assessed work), which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not undertaken according to the requirements of the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of centre assessed marks
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer via the Exams Officer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Access arrangements and special consideration

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding his/her access arrangements
- Candidate did not consent to record their personal data online (by the non-acquisition of a completed candidate personal data consent form)
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply
- Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during exam/assessment
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment
- Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer via Exams Officer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

Conducting examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam timetable/exam regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place
- Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam
- Inadequate invigilation in exam room
- Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations
- Online system failed during (on-screen) exam/assessment
- Disruption during exam/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by awarding body

Results and Post-results

- Before exams, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the
 accessibility of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make decision on the submission of a review/enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body post-results services)
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a
 review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via Exams Officer to
 the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

Complaints and Appeals Procedure

If a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification he/she is following, South Lincolnshire Academies Trust encourages him/her to try to resolve this informally in the first instance. A concern or complaint should be made in writing to the Head of School.

If a complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

How to make a formal complaint

- A formal complaint should be submitted in writing by completing a complaints and appeals form available from the school
- Completed forms should be returned to the exams officer whose email address is listed on the exams page of the school website
- Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 10 calendar days

How a formal complaint is investigated

- The head of centre will further investigate or appoint a member of the senior leadership team (who
 is not involved in the grounds for complaint and has no personal interest in the outcome) to
 investigate the complaint and report on the findings and conclusion
- The findings and conclusion will be provided to the complainant within 5 working weeks

Appeals

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted.

- Any appeal must be submitted in writing by again completing a complaints and appeals form
- Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 5 working days
- The appeal will be referred the Chair of Governors
- The Chair of Governors will inform the appellant of the final conclusion in due course

Complaints and Appeals form Centre Name:

FOR CENTRE USE ONLY				
Date received				
Reference No.				

Please tick box to indicate the nature of your complaint/appeal

☐ Complaint/appeal against the centre's delivery of a qualification ☐ Complaint/appeal against the centre's administration of a qualification						
Name of complainant/appellant						
Candidate name (if different to complainant/appellant)						
Please state the grounds for your complaint/appe	al below:					
If your grounds are lengthy, please write as bullet points; please keep to the point and include relevant detail such as dates, names etc. and provide any evidence you may have to support what you say Your appeal should identify the centre's failure to follow procedures as set out in the relevant policy, and/or issues in teaching and learning which have impacted the candidate						
	s form is being completed electronically or overleaf if					
Detail any steps you have already taken to resolve resolution to the issue(s)	e the issue(s) and what you would consider to be a good					
Complainant/appellant signature:	Date of signature:					

This form must be completed in full - an incomplete form will be returned to the complainant/appellant

Complaints and Appeals log

On receipt, all complaints/appeals are assigned a reference number and logged. Outcome and outcome date is also recorded.

Ref No.	Date received	Complaint or Appeal	Outcome	Outcome date